

FACT SHEET

BUILDING ENERGY BENCHMARKING

For further information, please contact:

Andrew Burr
andrew@imt.org

Six cities and two states have adopted mandatory policies to energy rate (or benchmark) commercial buildings.

Commercial Building Energy Rating and Disclosure Policies

For better or worse, the buildings of tomorrow are mostly here.

According to the U.S. Department of Energy, more than 40 percent of U.S. commercial buildings are more than 30 years old.¹ In New York City, where commercial buildings account for 80 percent of greenhouse gas emissions and \$15 billion each year in energy costs, 85 percent of buildings standing today will still be in use in 2030.² Our ability to make meaningful reductions in building energy consumption depends on unlocking efficiencies in existing buildings. Rating and disclosing building energy performance is the first step in harnessing these opportunities.

AN 'MPG' RATING FOR BUILDINGS

In the auto industry, consumer demand for fuel efficiency has sparked a fierce competition among automakers to build vehicles that cost less at the pump. Thanks to transparent miles-per-gallon data, consumers are able to assess fuel efficiency and select vehicles with lower fuel costs.

But in the commercial real estate industry, the "fuel efficiency" of most buildings is unknown. Real estate investors and lenders who spend hundreds of billions of dollars each year buying and financing commercial buildings often don't know whether a building is fuel efficient or a gas guzzler, so to speak. Small businesses can't compare the energy efficiency and potential energy costs of buildings when they lease space. This information gap limits the market forces that should be driving investment in building efficiency, resulting in little demand for energy-efficient



Most U.S. rating policies leverage the EPA's [ENERGY STAR](#) Portfolio Manager program.

buildings and little competition among building owners to improve efficiency.

Rating and disclosing building energy performance can help overcome these barriers. Like MPG ratings, transparent energy ratings enable the market to assess building energy performance and identify buildings where energy costs are lower, creating more demand for efficient buildings.

SEEING THE BENEFITS

Rating and disclosure policies create the necessary conditions for increased investment in building energy efficiency. Because they leverage the power of the market, these policies are inexpensive for state and local governments to implement and often have broad support from industry stakeholders. The benefits of rating and disclosure policies include:


Empowering tenants to save money on utility bills by helping them identify efficient and inefficient buildings. Tenants can also set minimum leasing standards based on transparent ratings, which can lead to rapid market transformation.

Ensuring building owners are measuring energy usage. You can't manage what you don't measure, and the efficiency of most buildings has never been measured. Doing so will help owners identify opportunities to lower utility bills and set energy efficiency goals, as well as increase demand for energy audits and the services of facilities professionals who proactively manage building energy.

Sending the message that we must focus on reducing energy consumption in existing buildings, which comprise roughly 98 percent of the total building stock in any given year. Designers, engineers, and operators of buildings will be held more accountable for energy consumption, particularly in poorly performing buildings.

LEVERAGING THE MARKET

Transparent energy ratings can help drive investment in energy efficiency by creating demand for efficient buildings that have lower operating costs.



Increasing the impact of tax and ratepayer dollars. State and local governments and utilities can analyze ratings to identify building efficiency trends in order to create more effective building energy policies and incentives.

RATING POLICIES ACROSS THE U.S.

Two states, **California** and **Washington**, and six major cities, **New York, Austin, Seattle, San Francisco, Philadelphia,** and **Washington, DC**, have enacted commercial building energy rating and disclosure policies. The policies will affect billions of commercial square feet, and each one contains unique approaches to implementation.

For example, buildings in the state of California must have ratings at the time they are sold, leased, or financed for disclosure to transaction counterparties. But in New York City, buildings require annual ratings that are posted on a public website. Please see www.imt.org/rating for detailed briefs on each policy.

Other states and cities appear ready to follow these pioneering jurisdictions.

¹Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey 2003, Building Characteristics Tables for All Buildings, Table A1. http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cbecs/cbecs2003/detailed_tables_2003/2003set1/2003pdf/a1.pdf

²New York City PlaNYC report